





LP problem is an optimization problem in which

- The objective function is a linear function
- Each constraint is a linear equation or linear inequality

Example 1: Product Mix

Produce *n* products from *m* types of material.

Available on-hand inventory of material i (i = 1, 2, ..., m): b_i

Amount of material *i* used for one unit of product j (j = 1, 2, ..., n): a_{ij}

Profit of one unit of product *j*: c_j

Problem: determine production volumes of products so as to maximize total profit.







Denote x_j (j = 1, 2, ..., n): production volume of product j.

<u>Objective Function</u>: Maximize $Z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$

Constraints:

* Material constraints: $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \le b_i$ $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., m$

* Variable constraints: $x_j \ge 0$ $\forall j = 1, 2, ..., n$





Example 2: Diet Problem

Choose a diet from a set of *n* available foods in order to guarantee *m* nutritional requirements while minimizing cost

Daily required number of units of nutrient *i* (i = 1, 2, ..., m): b_i Number of units of nutrient *i* in one unit of food *j*: a_{ij} Cost per unit of food *j*: c_j





Denote x_j (j = 1, 2, ..., n): number of units of food j in the diet

<u>Objective Function</u>: Minimize $Z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$ <u>Constraints</u>:

> * Nutrition constraints: $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \ge b_i$ $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., m$ * Variable constraints: $x_j \ge 0$ $\forall j = 1, 2, ..., n$





Example 3: Transportation problem

There are *m* suppliers and *n* customers. Supply capacity of supplier i (i = 1, 2, ..., m): s_i Demand of customer j (j = 1, 2, ..., n): d_j Variable cost of shipping one unit of goods from supplier *i* to customer $j : c_{ij}$

Determine the shipping plan so as to minimize total transportation cost.







Denote x_{ij} (i = 1, 2, ..., m; j = 1, 2, ..., n): : number of units shipped from supplier *i* to customer *j*.

<u>Objective Function</u>: Minimize $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} x_{ij}$ <u>Constraints</u>:

- * Supply constraints:
- * Demand constraints:
- * Variable constraints:
- $$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} &\leq s_i & \forall i = 1, 2, ..., m \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij} &\geq d_j & \forall j = 1, 2, ..., n \\ x_{ij} &\geq 0 \ (i = 1, 2, ..., m; \ j = 1, 2, ..., n) \end{split}$$





Standard form of an LP problem



(Note: some textbooks use "Maximization")





Matrix form

$\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Minimize} & \mathbf{c}^{\rm T} \mathbf{x} \\ \mbox{s.t.} & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$

In which

- **c**, **x** are column vectors with dimension n (**c**, **x** \in **R**ⁿ)
- \mathbf{c}^{T} is the transpose of \mathbf{c} a row vector with dimension n
- **b** is a column vector with dimension m (**b** \in **R**^m)
- A is a mxn matrix ($A \in \mathbb{R}^{mxn}$)





Convert an LP to Standard Form

1. $\max \sum_{j} c_{j}x_{j} \implies \min \sum_{j} (-c_{j})x_{j}$ 2. $\sum_{j} a_{ij}x_{j} \le b_{i} \implies \sum_{j} a_{ij}x_{j} + y_{i} = b_{i}, y_{i} \ge 0$ The added variable y_{i} : *slack* variable for the constraint 3. $\sum_{j} a_{ij}x_{j} \ge b_{i} \implies \sum_{j} a_{ij}x_{j} - y_{i} = b_{i}, y_{i} \ge 0$ The added variable y_{i} : *surplus* or *excess* variable 4. $\sum_{j} a_{ij}x_{j} = b_{i}, b_{i} < 0 \implies \sum_{j} (-a_{ij})x_{j} = -b_{i}$ 5. If variable x_{i} can have either nonnegative or negative values then $x_{i} = x_{i}^{+} - x_{i}^{-}$ in which $x_{i}^{+}, x_{i}^{-} \ge 0$.





Example 4:

Consider the following LP:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 3x_1 + 2x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 + x_2 \leq 1 \\ & 2x_1 - x_2 \geq 2 \\ & x_1 \geq 0 \end{array}$$





The standard form:

min
$$-3x_1 - 2x_2^+ + 2x_2^-$$

s.t. $x_1 + x_2^+ - x_2^- + x_3 = 1$
 $2x_1 - x_2^+ + x_2^- - x_4 = 2$
 $x_1, x_2^+, x_2^-, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$





Feasible Solution

- Def.: Consider the LP model:Minimize $c^T x$ s.t. $Ax = b \ge 0$ $x \ge 0$ Vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ which satisfies Ax = b and $x \ge 0$ is a feasible solution of the LP
- The set of all feasible solutions: *feasible region*
- If the feasible region does not exist, the LP problem is an *infeasible* problem







Example 5:

max $Z = 3x_1 + 5x_2$ Constraints:

X_1	≤ 4
$2x_2$	≤12
$3x_1 + 2x_2$	≤18
$x_1, x_2 \ge 0$	

Feasible region of the model: *crossed* area in the figure







Convex Set

<u>Def</u>. A set of points S is a convex set if the line segment connecting any pair of point in S is wholly contained in S





Extreme Point

<u>Def.</u> For any convex set, a point *P* in *S* is an extreme point if it does not lie on any line segment connecting two distinct points in the set

In the above figures, the extreme points are any point in the circumference of the circle (first figure) and A, B, C, D (second figure)

Note that

- The feasible region of an LP problem is a convex set
- The optimal solution of an LP problem is one of the extreme points of the feasible region





Basic and Nonbasic Variables

Consider an LP in standard form

. . .

Min $Z = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n$ s.t. $a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2 + \dots + a_{1n} x_n = b_1$ $a_{21} x_1 + a_{22} x_2 + \dots + a_{2n} x_n = b_2$

$$a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m$$

$$x_i \ge 0 (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$





Define:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$





Suppose that $n \ge m$ and rank(A) = m. If we set (n - m) variables to zero and from Ax = b we can find a unique solution for the remaining *m* variables then

- The (n m) variables that are set to zero are called **nonbasic** variables
- The *m* variables that have a unique solution are called *basic* variables and their solution is referred to as a *basic solution*

Note: A basic solution is an extreme point of the feasible region

<u>In matrix form</u>: denote A_i - the *j*th column vector of **A**, we have

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{A}_j x_j = \mathbf{b}$$





The subset of *m* column vectors associated with the *m* basic variables will form a **basis B**, and the corresponding basic solution can be found by solving

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{b} \quad \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

It is noted that

- The columns A_i 's that form basis matrix **B** should be linearly independent.
- **B** is a nonsingular matrix, i.e., $det(\mathbf{B}) \neq 0$

<u>Review</u>: The vectors $P_1, P_2, ..., P_n$ are linearly independent iff

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \mathbf{P}_j = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \alpha_j = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$



Simplex Method

Simplex tableau

Consider the following LP and its equivalent standard form

Min $-2x_1 - x_2$ Min Z s.t $Z + 2x_1 + x_2 = 0$ s.t $x_1 + \frac{8}{3}x_2 + x_3 = 4$ $x_1 + \frac{8}{3}x_2 \le 4$ $x_1 + x_2 \leq 2$ \Leftrightarrow $x_1 + x_2 + x_4 = 2$ $2x_1 + x_5 = 3$ $2x_1 \leq 3$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$





The initial simplex tableau:

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	X_3	X_4	<i>X</i> ₅	RHS
Ζ	1	2	1	0	0	0	0
<i>x</i> ₃	0	1	8/3	1	0	0	4
X_4	0	1	1	0	1	0	2
<i>x</i> ₅	0	2	0	0	0	1	3





Notes:

- The variables associated with *unit column vectors* in the simplex tableau are current *basic variables*. The others are *nonbasic variables*.
- Initial feasible solution: $x_1 = x_2 = 0$; $x_3 = 4$; $x_4 = 2$; $x_5 = 3$
- Column vectors in matrix A associated with basic variables will form a basis matrix B. The basis B in a simplex tableau is an identity matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{8}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & x_5 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$





In general, a simplex tableau has the following form:

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	• • •	X_m	X_{B_1}	•••	X_{B_j}	•••	X_{B_r}	RHS
Ζ	1	<i>Y</i> ₀₁	<i>Y</i> ₀₂	• • •	y_{0m}	0	•••	0	•••	0	<i>Y</i> ₀₀
X_{B_1}	0	<i>Y</i> ₁₁	<i>Y</i> ₁₂	• • •	Y_{1m}	1	•••	0	•••	0	<i>Y</i> ₁₀
\mathcal{X}_{B_i}	 0	 y_{i1}	 Y _{i2}	•••	 Y _{im}	0	•••	 1	•••	 0	 y_{i0}
\dots X_{B_r}	 0	y_{r1}	 Y _{r2}	•••	···· Y _{rm}	 0	•••	 0	•••	 1	\dots y_{r0}





Simplex Algorithm

Assume that $y_{i0} \ge 0 \forall i \in [1, r]$, at each iteration (called a *pivot*) of the simplex algorithm, the following steps will be performed

<u>Step 1</u>: select *j* such that $y_{oj} = max_{1 \le k \le m} \{y_{ok}\}$ - select the *pivot column*

- If $y_{oj} \leq 0$: stop. The current basic feasible solution is optimal.
- If $y_{oj} > 0$, go to step 2.

Step 2: for the value of *j* selected in step 1

- If $y_{ij} \leq 0 \ \forall i$: stop; the LP problem is <u>unbounded</u>.
- Otherwise, select *i* such that select the *pivot row*

$$\frac{y_{i0}}{y_{ij}} = Min\left\{\frac{y_{k0}}{y_{kj}} \middle| y_{kj} > 0\right\} \text{ and go to step 3.}$$





<u>Step 3</u>: Pivoting at y_{ij} (pivot term, pivot number) by use of elementary row operations as below and then go back to step 1,

Row i
$$\Rightarrow$$
 $\frac{1}{y_{ij}}$ *(Row i)Row k \Rightarrow Row k - $\frac{y_{kj}}{y_{ij}}$ *(Row i) $\forall k \neq i$

The purpose of step 3 is to replace the variable associated with row *i* (leaving variable) by the variable associated with column *j* (entering variable) in the current basis B in order to move from the current basic feasible solution to one of its adjacent basic feasible solutions





Example 7:

1. Min $-2x_1 - x_2$ s.t $x_1 + \frac{8}{3}x_2 \le 4$ $x_1 + x_2 \le 2$ $2x_1 \le 3$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$ Min Z s.t $Z + 2x_1 + x_2 = 0$ $x_1 + \frac{8}{3}x_2 + x_3 = 4$ $x_1 + x_2 + x_4 = 2$ $2x_1 \le 3$ $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \ge 0$





The initial simplex tableau:

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
Ζ	1	2	1	0	0	0	0
X_3	0	1	8/3	1	0	0	4
X_4	0	1	1	0	1	0	2
<i>x</i> ₅	0	2*	0	0	0	1	3





<u>Step 1</u>:

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	RHS
Ζ	1	0	1	0	0	-1	-3
<i>x</i> ₃	0	0	8/3	1	0	-1/2	5/2
X_4	0	0	1*	0	1	-1/2	1/2
X_1	0	1	0	0	0	1/2	3/2





<u>Step 2</u>:

	Ζ	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	0	-1	-1/2	-7/2
X_3	0	0	0	1	-8/3	5/6	7/6
X_2	0	0	1	0	1	-1/2	1/2
X_1	0	1	0	0	0	1/2	3/2

Optimal solution: $x_1^* = \frac{3}{2}, x_2^* = \frac{1}{2}, Z^* = -\frac{7}{2}$





• A constraint is binding if its LHS and RHS are equal at the optimal solution. Otherwise, the constraint is nonbinding.

In the above example, constraint 1 is nonbinding while constraints 2&3 are binding



MSÉ

2. Min
$$-2x_1 + x_2$$

s.t
 $-x_1 + x_2 \le 2$
 $x_1 - 3x_2 \le 3$
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$
Min Z
s.t $Z + 2x_1 - x_2 = 0$
 $-x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 2$
 $x_1 - 3x_2 - x_1 = 3$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$





	Z	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	2	-1	0	0	0
<i>x</i> ₃	0	-1	1	1	0	2
X_4	0	1*	-3	0	1	3









Determine Initial Basic Solution

In some LP problems, after adding slack variables we still do not have an identity matrix to serve as an initial basis matrix (illbehaved LPs). In these cases, an artificial starting solution should be determined so that the simplex algorithm can be applied.

There are two methods:

The two-phase method The BigM method




<u>**Phase 1**</u>: Introduce artificial variables in appropriate constraints of the LP problem so that an identity basis **B** can be formed. The objective function of phase 1 is to minimize the sum W of all artificial variables. Use simplex method for solution

There are three possibilities:

a. Case 1: If W^* exists but $W^* > 0 \Rightarrow$ The original LP is infeasible.

b. Case 2: If $W^* = 0$ and there is no artificial variable in the basis matrix. Remove all artificial variables from the current simplex tableau. Use this as the initial simplex tableau for the original LP, go to phase 2





c. Case 3: If $W^* = 0$ and there are artificial variables in the basis matrix then:

- Remove the rows that have all elements associated with nonartificial variables to be zero.
- Pivot at some element (>0) in the current simplex tableau to take artificial variables out of the basis; remove all artificial variables from the current simplex tableau; use this as the initial simplex tableau for the original LP, and go to phase 2

<u>Phase 2</u>: Consider the original objective function and use simplex algorithm to find optimal solution.





Example 8:

1. Min $-3x_1 + 4x_2$ s.t $x_1 + x_2 \le 4$ $2x_1 + 3x_2 \ge 18$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$ Min $-3x_1 + 4x_2$ s.t $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 4$ $2x_1 + 3x_2 - x_4 = 18$ $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$





<u>Phase 1</u>: Min $W = x_5$ s.t. $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 4$ $2x_1 + 3x_2 - x_4 + x_5 = 18$ $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \ge 0$

	W	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>X</i> ₅	RHS
W	1	0	0	0	0	-1*	0
<i>x</i> ₃	0	1	1	1	0	0	4
X_5	0	2	3	0	-1	1	18

This table is not a simplex tableau because the coefficients associated with the basic variables in row 0 are not zeros! So, Row operation should be conducted on row 0 to form the starting simplex tableau as follows





Starting Simplex Tableau:

	W	X_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
W	1	2	3	0	-1	0	18
X_3	0	1	1*	1	0	0	4
X_5	0	2	3	0	-1	1	18





<u>Iteration 1</u>: Pivot term: 1^{*}; x_2 -entering variable; x_3 -leaving variable.

	W	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
W	1	-1	0	-3	-1	0	6
X_2	0	1	1	1	0	0	4
X_5	0	-1	0	-3	-1	1	6

Optimal solution has been found. However, $W^* = 6 \neq 0$. The original LP is *infeasible*.





2. Min
$$4x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$

s.t
 $2x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 = 4$
 $3x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 = 3$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$



™____

The Two-Phase Method

<u>Phase 1</u>: Min $W = x_4 + x_5$ s.t. $2x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 = 4$ $3x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 + x_5 = 3$ $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \ge 0$

	W	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
W	1	0	0	0	-1	-1	0
X_4	0	2	1	2	1	0	4
<i>X</i> ₅	0	3	3	1	0	1	3





Starting Simplex Tableau:

	W	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
W	1	5	4	3	0	0	7
X_4	0	2	1	2	1	0	4
<i>x</i> ₅	0	3*	3	1	0	1	3





<u>Iteration 1</u>: Pivot term: 3^* ; x_1 -entering variable; x_5 -leaving variable.

	W	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	X_5	RHS
W	1	0	-1	4/3	0	-5/3	2
X_4	0	0	-1	4/3*	1	-2/3	2
X_1	0	1	1	1/3	0	1/3	1





<u>Iteration 2</u>: Pivot term: 4/3^{*}; x_3 -entering variable; x_4 -leaving variable.

	W	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	X_3	X_4	X_5	RHS
W	1	0	0	0	-1	-1	0
X_3	0	0	-3/4	1	3/4	-1/2	3/2
X_1	0	1	5/4	0	-1/4	1/2	1/2





	Ζ	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	RHS
Ζ	1	-4	-1	-1	0
X_3	0	0	-3/4	1	3/2
X_1	0	1	5/4	0	1/2

\Rightarrow Starting simplex tableau of phase 2:

	Ζ	x_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	RHS
Ζ	1	0	13/4	0	7/2
X_3	0	0	-3/4	1	3/2
X_1	0	1	5/4*	0	1/2





<u>Iteration 1</u>: Pivot term: $5/4^*$; x_2 -entering variable; x_1 -leaving variable.

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	RHS
Ζ	1	-13/5	0	0	11/5
X_3	0	3/5	0	1	9/5
X_2	0	4/5	1	0	2/5

Optimal solution has been founded!



MIE (

The Two-Phase Method

3. Min
$$-x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3$$

s.t
 $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$
 $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 = 4$
 $2x_2 + 3x_3 = 10$
 $x_3 + x_4 = 2$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$



Phase 1: Min $W = x_5 + x_6 + x_7$ s.t. $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_5 = 6$ $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + x_6 = 4$ $2x_2 + 3x_3 + x_7 = 10$ $x_3 + x_4 = 2$ $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7 \ge 0$

MSE





Starting simplex tableau:

	W	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	X_6	X_7	RHS
W	1	0	4	6	0	0	0	0	20
<i>x</i> ₅	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	6
<i>x</i> ₆	0	-1	1	2*	0	0	1	0	4
<i>x</i> ₇	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	10
X_4	0	0	0	1*	1	0	0	0	2





<u>Iteration 1</u>: Pivot term: 1* (or 2*)

	W	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	X_6	<i>X</i> ₇	RHS
W	1	0	4	0	-6	0	0	0	8
X_5	0	1	1	0	-1	1	0	0	4
X_6	0	-1	1*	0	-2	0	1	0	0
X_7	0	0	2	0	-3	0	0	1	4
X_3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2





Iteration 2: Pivot term: 1*

	W	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	X_6	<i>X</i> ₇	RHS
W	1	4	0	0	2	0	-4	0	8
<i>X</i> ₅	0	2*	0	0	1	1	-1	0	4
X_2	0	-1	1	0	-2	0	1	0	0
<i>X</i> ₇	0	2	0	0	1	0	-2	1	4
x_3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2





Iteration 3: Pivot term: 2*

	W	<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	<i>x</i> ₆	<i>X</i> ₇	RHS
W	1	0	0	0	0	-2	-2	0	0
x_1	0	1	0	0	1/2	1/2	-1/2	0	2
x_2	0	0	1	0	-3/2	1/2	1/2	0	2
<i>X</i> ₇	0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	-1	-1	1	0
x_3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2

In the final simplex tableau of phase 1 of this problem, the row associated with x_7 is redundant and should be removed





<u>Phase 2</u>: The starting simplex tableau is developed as follows:

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	RHS	
Ζ	1	1	-2	3	0	0	
X_1	0	1	0	0	1/2	2	\Rightarrow
X_2	0	0	1	0	-3/2	2	
X_3	0	0	0	1	1	2	

	Z	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	0	-13/2	-4
X_1	0	1	0	0	1/2	2
x_2	0	0	1	0	-3/2	2
x_3	0	0	0	1	1	2

Optimal solution found!





<u>Procedure</u>

- Introduce artificial variables into constraints so as an initial basic solution can be defined.
- For each artificial variable y_i , add an amount My_i (*M*: a very large positive value) to the objective function (the case of minimization problem)
- Use simplex method for solution.





Example 9:

1. Min
$$-3x_1 + 4x_2$$

s.t
 $x_1 + x_2 \le 4$
 $2x_1 + 3x_2 \ge 18$
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$
Min $-3x_1 + 4x_2$
s.t
 $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 4$
 $2x_1 + 3x_2 - x_4 = 18$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$



$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{Min} & -3x_1 + 4x_2 + Mx_5 \\
\text{s.t} \\
& x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &= 4 \\
\Leftrightarrow & 2x_1 + 3x_2 & -x_4 + x_5 = 18 \\
& x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \ge 0
\end{array}$$

x₁

MSÉ

	Ζ	x_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	RHS
Ζ	1	3	-4	0	0	-M	0
<i>x</i> ₃	0	1	1	1	0	0	4
<i>x</i> ₅	0	2	3	0	-1	1	18





Starting simplex tableau:

	Ζ	x_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
Ζ	1	3+2M	-4+3M	0	-M	0	18M
X_3	0	1	1*	1	0	0	4
X_5	0	2	3	0	-1	1	18





<u>Note</u>: Another type of simplex tableau for BigM method:

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>X</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
Ζ	1	3	-4	0	0	0	0
		<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>18</u>
<i>x</i> ₃	0	1	1*	1	0	0	4
<i>x</i> ₅	0	2	3	0	-1	1	18



<u>Iteration 1</u>: Pivot term: 1^{*}; x_2 -entering variable; x_3 -leaving variable.

MSE

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
Ζ	1	7-M	0	4-3M	-M	0	16+6M
X_2	0	1	1	1	0	0	4
<i>x</i> ₅	0	-1	0	-3	-1	1	6



重. 0				The	Big	M Me	etho	b
Or								
		Z	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
	Ζ	1	7	0	4	0	0	16
			-1	0	-3	-1	0	6
	X_2	0	1	1	1	0	0	4
	X_5	0	-1	0	-3	-1	1	6

 \Rightarrow The original LP is infeasible.



2 Min $4x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ s.t $2x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 = 4$ $3x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 = 3$ $x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$ Min $4x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + Mx_4 + Mx_5$ \Leftrightarrow s.t. $2x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 = 4$ $3x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 + x_5 = 3$ $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \ge 0$

MSE





	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
Ζ	1	-4	-1	-1	-M	-M	0
X_4	0	2	1	2	1	0	4
<i>x</i> ₅	0	3	3	1	0	1	3

Starting simplex tableau:

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	X_3	X_4	X_5	RHS
Ζ	1	-4+5M	-1+4M	-1+3M	0	0	7M
X_4	0	2	1	2	1	0	4
<i>x</i> ₅	0	3*	3	1	0	1	3



MII ()

The BigM Method

<u>Iteration 1</u>: Pivot term: 3^* ; x_1 -entering variable; x_5 -leaving variable.

	Ζ	X_1	<i>X</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	x_5	RHS
Ζ	1	0	3-M	1/3+4M/3	0	4/3-5M/3	4+2M
X_4	0	0	-1	4/3*	1	-2/3	2
x_1	0	1	1	1/3	0	1/3	1



MII ()

The BigM Method

<u>Iteration 2</u>: Pivot term: 4/3^{*}; x_3 -entering variable; x_4 -leaving variable.

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>x</i> ₅	RHS
Ζ	1	0	13/4	0	-1/4-M	3/2-M	7/2
<i>x</i> ₃	0	0	-3/4	1	3/4	-1/2	3/2
x_1	0	1	5/4*	0	-1/4	1/2	1/2



<u>Iteration 3</u>: Pivot term: $5/4^*$; x_2 -entering variable; x_1 -leaving variable.

	Ζ	x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	RHS
Ζ	1	-13/5	0	0	2/5-M	1/5-M	11/5
<i>x</i> ₃	0	3/5	0	1	9/10	-1/5	9/5
X_2	0	4/5	1	0	-1/5	2/5	2/5

Optimal Solution: $x_1^* = 0$, $x_2^* = \frac{2}{5}$, $x_3^* = \frac{9}{5}$, with $Z^* = \frac{11}{5}$.

MSF



3. Min
$$-x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3$$

s.t
 $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$
 $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 = 4$
 $2x_2 + 3x_3 = 10$
 $x_3 + x_4 = 2$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$

MSE

Min
$$-x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 + Mx_5 + Mx_6 + Mx_7$$

s.t.
 $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_5 = 6$
 $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + x_6 = 4$

$$2x_2 + 3x_3 + x_7 = 10$$

$$x_3 + x_4 = 2$$

 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7 \ge 0$





	Z	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	X_6	X_7	RHS
Ζ	1	1	-2	3	0	-M	-M	-M	0
<i>x</i> ₅	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	6
<i>x</i> ₆	0	-1	1	2	0	0	1	0	4
<i>x</i> ₇	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	10
X_4	0	0	0	1*	1	0	0	0	2





Starting simplex tableau:

	Ζ	x_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>X</i> ₅	X_6	X_7	RHS
Ζ	1	1	-2+4M	3+6M	0	0	0	0	20M
X_5	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	6
X_6	0	-1	1	2*	0	0	1	0	4
X_7	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	10
X_4	0	0	0	1*	1	0	0	0	2





<u>Iteration 1</u>: Pivot term: 1* (or 2*)

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	X_6	X_7	RHS
Ζ	1	1	-2+4M	0	-3-6M	0	0	0	-6+8M
<i>X</i> ₅	0	1	1	0	-1	1	0	0	4
<i>x</i> ₆	0	-1	1*	0	-2	0	1	0	0
<i>x</i> ₇	0	0	2	0	-3	0	0	1	4
<i>x</i> ₃	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2




The BigM Method

<u>Iteration 2</u>: Pivot term: 1*

	Ζ	x_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	X_5	X_6	<i>X</i> ₇	RHS
Ζ	1	-1+4M	0	0	-7+2M	0	2-4M	0	-6+8M
<i>x</i> ₅	0	2*	0	0	1	1	-1	0	4
X_2	0	-1	1	0	-2	0	1	0	0
X_7	0	2	0	0	1	0	-2	1	4
<i>x</i> ₃	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2





The BigM Method

Iteration 3: Pivot term: 2*

	Ζ	X_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	X_6	<i>X</i> ₇	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	0	-13/2	1/2 - 2M	3/2-2M	0	-4
X_1	0	1	0	0	1/2	1/2	-1/2	0	2
X_2	0	0	1	0	-3/2	1/2	1/2	0	2
X_7	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	1	0
<i>x</i> ₃	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2





The BigM Method

- Optimal Solution: $x_1^* = 2, x_2^* = 2, x_3^* = 2$, with $Z^* = -4$.
- Note that the information $x_7 = 0$ in the final simplex tableau is redundant (This must be satisfied!)





Special Case in Simplex Method Application

There are four special cases:

- Degeneracy
- Alternative optima
- Unbounded solutions
- Infeasible solutions





When the model has at least one redundant constraint, a tie may occur when checking feasibility condition (Step 2) in the application of simplex method.

The tie can be broken arbitrarily and this will lead to the fact that at least one basic variable will be zero in the next iteration. This new solution is said to be *degenerate*







Example 10:

Min
$$z = -3x_1 - 9x_2$$

s.t
 $x_1 + 4x_2 \le 8$
 $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$





Starting simplex tableau:

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	3	9	0	0	0
X_3	0	1	4*	1	0	8
X_4	0	1	2*	0	1	4





If x_2 enters and x_3 leaves the basic solution, then

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	3/4	0	-9/4	0	-18
<i>x</i> ₂	0	1/4	1	1/4	0	2
X_4	0	1/2	0	-1/2	1	0





Next x_1 enters and x_4 leaves the basic solution, then

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	-3/2	-3/2	-18
<i>x</i> ₂	0	0	1	1/2	-1/2	2
x_1	0	1	0	-1	2	0

Optimal solution! (but the value of objective function does not change)





When the objective function is parallel to a *binding* constraint, the objective function will assume the *same optimal value*, called alternative optima, at more than one solution point. In this situation, there is an *infinity* of such solutions







Example 11:

Min $z = -2x_1 - 4x_2$ s.t $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 5$ $x_1 + x_2 \le 4$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$





	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	<i>X</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	2	4	0	0	0
<i>x</i> ₃	0	1	2*	1	0	5
<i>x</i> ₄	0	1	1	0	1	4

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	-2	0	10
<i>x</i> ₂	0	1/2	1	1/2	0	5/2
X_4	0	1/2	0	-1/2	1	3/2





The optimal solution is reached. However, it is noted that the coefficient associated with nonbasic variable x_1 on row 0 is zero. Hence, x_1 can enter the basic solution without changing the optimal value of *z*.

	Ζ	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	-2	0	10
<i>x</i> ₂	0	0	1	1	-1	1
X_1	0	1	0	-1	2	3





Actually, any points in the segment connecting the two points $(x_1^1, x_2^1) = (0, \frac{5}{2})$ and $(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (3, 1)$ will give the same objective value.

Optimal solutions:

$$(x_1, x_2) = \left(\alpha * 0 + (1 - \alpha) * 3, \alpha * \frac{5}{2} + (1 - \alpha) * 1 \right)$$
$$= \left(3 - 3\alpha, 1 + \frac{3\alpha}{2} \right) \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$$





When an LP model is poorly constructed (lack of some necessary constraints), the objective value may increase (in case of maximization) or decrease (in case of minimization) indefinitely





Example 12:

Min $z = -2x_1 - x_2$ s.t $x_1 - x_2 \le 10$ $2x_1 \le 40$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$





	Z	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	2	1	0	0	0
<i>x</i> ₃	0	1*	-1	1	0	10
X_4	0	2	0	0	1	40

	Ζ	X_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	0	3	-2	0	-20
x_1	0	1	-1	1	0	10
x_4	0	0	2*	-2	1	20
Erasmus+ Programme						

of the European Union



	Ζ	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	1	-3/2	-50
X_1	0	1	0	0	1/2	20
<i>x</i> ₂	0	0	1	-1	1/2	10

In the last simplex tableau: $Z = -50 - x_3 + \frac{3}{2}x_4$





- For z to be minimized, x_4 should be zero. However, x_3 can increase indefinitely. In this case, the solution space is unbounded in the direction of x_3 and so the objective value.
- It is noted that, from the initial simplex tableau, we can see that all the constraint coefficients of x_2 are negative or zero. Therefore, x_2 can be increased indefinitely without violating any of the constraints and this will result in an infinite increase in *z*. In this case, the solution space is unbounded in the direction of x_3 and so the objective value.





How to recognize unboundedness? If at any iteration:

- All constraint coefficients of any nonbasic variable are zero or negative (unbounded solution space)
- The corresponding objective coefficient of that variable is also positive (unbounded objective value)





Special Case in Simplex Method Application Infeasible Solution

This is the case of LP models with inconsistent constraints (incorrectly formulated LPs)

See Example 8.1 or 9.1 discussed before for an illustration.







Revised Simplex Method

Weaknesses of (Primal) Simple Method:

- 1. The initial basic solution comes only from the slack variables. So, it we don't have enough slack variables, some artificial variables should be introduced and then two-phase or BigM method should be applied. The increase in total number of variables will require more computational effort.
- 2. It is not flexible. We cannot select an arbitrary combination of variables to serve as a basic solution at the beginning. This flexibility is sometimes quite important if we already knew a near-optimal solution.



Consider the LP:Minimize
$$z = c^T x$$
s.t. $Ax = b \ge 0$ $x \ge 0$

The problem can be written equivalently as:
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\mathbf{c}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{A} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose **B** is a feasible basis of the system $Ax = b \ge 0$, $x \ge 0$;

Denote

٩Ĵ٢

 x_B : basic vector - the corresponding set of basic variables c_B : the associated objective vector





The corresponding feasible basic solution as well as the associated objective value can be determined as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} z \\ \mathbf{x}_B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \\ 0 & \mathbf{B}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}$$

The general simplex tableau can be derived based on the following equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \\ 0 & \mathbf{B}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ 0 & \mathbf{A} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \\ 0 & \mathbf{B}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}$$

Or equivalently,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}$$





Simplex tableau in matrix form:

	Z	X	RHS
Z	1	$\mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}}$	$\mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$
X _B	0	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$





In details, the simplex tableau column associated with variable x_i can be represented as follows:

	Z	X_{j}	RHS
Z	1	$\mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j - c_j$	$\mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$
X _B	0	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{j}$	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$

Note that if x_j is a basic variable then: $\mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j - c_j = 0$



Example 13:

MSE

Min
$$-x_1 - 4x_2 - 7x_3 - 5x_4$$

s.t.
 $2x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + 4x_4 = 10$
 $3x_1 - x_2 - 2x_3 + 6x_4 = 5$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$



Consider the simplex tableau associated with the basis $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2)$

We have:
$$\mathbf{x}_B = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}; \mathbf{c}_B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}; \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 3/2 & -2/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence,

MSE

$$\mathbf{x}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/5 & 1/5 \\ 3/2 & -2/5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$





$$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{3}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & -1 & -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{c}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -4 & -7 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$z = \mathbf{c}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = -19$$

MSE





The corresponding simplex tableau (without introducing any artificial variable !):

	Ζ	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	-1	3	-19
x_1	0	1	0	0	2	3
x_2	0	0	1	2	0	4





Revised Simplex Method OPTIMALITY CONDITION

Consider a general LP:Minimize $z = c^T x$ s.t. $Ax = b \ge 0$ $x \ge 0$

Row 0 of any simplex iterative can be represented by the following equation:

$$z + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(z_j - c_j \right) x_j = \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

In which $z_j - c_j = \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j - c_j$





Revised Simplex Method OPTIMALITY CONDITION

In the above equation, it is noted that

- If x_j is a basic variable then $(z_j c_j) = 0$
- If x_j is a nonbasic variable, an increase in x_j above its current zero value will improve the value of *z* only if $(z_j c_j) > 0$.

Hence, optimal solution is achieved when: $(z_j - c_j) \le 0 \forall j = 1, 2, ..., n$





Revised Simplex Method OPTIMALITY CONDITION

- When optimal condition is still not satisfied, any nonbasic variable satisfying $(z_j c_j) > 0$ can be selected as entering variable to improve the current solution.
- The *rule of thumb* used in simplex method is to select the one with the most positive value of $(z_j c_j)$ (in case of minimization).





Revised Simplex Method FEASIBILITY CONDITION

Row *i* of any simplex iteration can be represented by:

$$x_i + \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^n \left(\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j \right)_i x_j = \left(\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \right)_i$$

in which $(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_j)_i$, $(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b})_i$ are the elements of $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_j$, $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ associated with row *i*.

When an A_j is selected to enter the basis, its associated nonbasic variable x_j will increase above zero level. At the same time, all other nonbasic variables remain at zero level. Therefore,

$$x_i = \left(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}\right)_i - \left(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_j\right)_i x_j$$





Revised Simplex Method FEASIBILITY CONDITION

If $(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_j) > 0$, the increase in x_j should satisfy the following condition to ensure that $x_i \ge 0^i$. $x_j \le \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b})_i}{(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_j)_i}$

The maximum value of the entering variable is, hence, determined by:

$$x_{j} = \min_{i} \left\{ \frac{\left(\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \right)_{i}}{\left(\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{j} \right)_{i}} \middle| \left(\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{j} \right)_{i} > 0 \right\}$$

The basic variable associated with the minimum ratio will then leave the basic solution





Revised Simplex Method

The revised simplex method is exactly the same as the tableau simplex method. The main difference is that it is based on matrix algebra while the tableau simplex method employs elementary row operations

Procedure:

- Construct a starting basic feasible solution and its associated basis B
- 2. Compute the inverse B^{-1} by using an appropriate inversion method




3. For each nonbasic variable x_j , compute

$$z_j - c_j = \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j - c_j$$

If $(z_j - c_j) \le 0$ for all nonbasic variables, stop; the optimal solution is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}; \ z = \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}_B$$

Else, select the entering variable x_j as the nonbasic variable with the most positive $(z_j - c_j)$





4. Compute $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{i}$

If all elements of $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_j$ are negative or zero, stop; the problem is unbounded

Else, compute $B^{-1}b$ and use the feasibility condition to determine the leaving variable among the current basic variables.

5. Form a new basis by replacing the leaving vector by the entering vector in the current basis **B**. Start a new iteration





Example 14:

 $\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{Min} & -5x_1 - 4x_2 \\ \mathrm{s.t.} \\ & 6x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 & = 24 \\ & x_1 + 2x_2 & + x_4 & = 6 \\ & -x_1 + x_2 & + x_5 & = 1 \\ & x_2 & + x_6 = 2 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 \ge 0 \end{array}$



Revised Simplex Method

Iteration 0:
$$\mathbf{x}_{B_0} = \begin{bmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{c}_{B_0}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{B}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_3 & \mathbf{A}_4 & \mathbf{A}_5 & \mathbf{A}_6 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{I}$
 $\mathbf{B}_0^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$

Thus:

$$\mathbf{x}_{B_0} = \mathbf{B}_0^{-1} \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 24 & 6 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$z = \mathbf{c}_{B_0}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{B_0} = 0$$

Check for optimality:

$$\mathbf{c}_{B_0}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}_0^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} z_j - c_j \end{pmatrix}_{j=1,2} = \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}_0^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{A}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

 \Rightarrow x_1 is the entering variable





Check feasibility condition:
$$\mathbf{x}_{B_0} = \mathbf{B}_0^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 24 & 6 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

 $\mathbf{B}_0^{-1}\mathbf{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$
Hence, $x_1 = \min\left\{\frac{24}{6}, \frac{6}{1}, -, -\right\} = \min\left\{4, 6, -, -\right\} = 4$

 \Rightarrow x_3 is the leaving variable



Iteration 1:
$$\mathbf{x}_{B_1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 \end{bmatrix}^T \quad \mathbf{c}_{B_1}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{B}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{A}_4 & \mathbf{A}_5 & \mathbf{A}_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\mathbf{B}_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{6} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
Thus $\mathbf{x}_{B_1} = \mathbf{B}_1^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$
 $z = \mathbf{c}_{B_1}^T \mathbf{x}_{B_1} = -20$

MSE



Revised Simplex Method

Check for optimality:
$$\mathbf{c}_{B_1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{5}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} z_j - c_j \end{pmatrix}_{j=2,3} = \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}_1^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_2 & \mathbf{A}_3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} c_2 & c_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{5}{6} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\Rightarrow x_2 \text{ is the entering variable}$$

Check feasibility condition: $\mathbf{x}_{B_1} = \mathbf{B}_1^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$
 $\mathbf{B}_1^{-1}\mathbf{A}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{5}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$
Hence, $x_2 = \min\left\{6, \frac{3}{2}, 3, 2\right\} = \frac{3}{2}$

 \Rightarrow x_4 is the leaving variable



Iteration 2:
$$\mathbf{x}_{B_2} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_5 & x_6 \end{bmatrix}^T \quad \mathbf{c}_{B_2}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{B}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{A}_2 & \mathbf{A}_5 & \mathbf{A}_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\mathbf{B}_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{8} & -\frac{5}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{8} & -\frac{3}{4} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
Thus $\mathbf{x}_{B_2} = \mathbf{B}_2^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^T$

 $z = \mathbf{c}_{B_2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{B_2} = -21$

MSE





Check for optimality:
$$\mathbf{c}_{B_2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 3/4 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $(z_j - c_j)_{j=3,4} = \mathbf{c}_B^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}_2^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_3 & \mathbf{A}_3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & c_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3/4 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$

 \Rightarrow **x**_{*B*₂} is optimal. Optimal solution: $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 1.5, z = -21$

<u>Note:</u> Methods of determining the inverse of matrix: Adjoint Matrix Method, Gauss-Jordan Method, Use of Product Form of the Inverse (see textbook of Taha), LU Decomposition.





Example 15: Consider the diet problem which is considered by a dieter

Choose a diet from a set of *n* available foods in order to guarantee *m* nutritional requirements while minimizing cost

- Daily required number of units of nutrient *i*: b_i (i = 1, 2, ..., m)
- Number of units of nutrient *i* in one unit of food j (j = 1, 2, ..., n): a_{ij}
- Cost per unit of food j: c_j (j = 1, 2, ..., n)
- Number of units of food *j* in the diet: x_j (j = 1, 2, ..., n)





The **Primal** Problem:

(**P**): Min
$$Z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$$

s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \ge b_i$ $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., m$
 $x_j \ge 0$ $\forall j = 1, 2, ..., n$





Consider a druggist who sells *m* types of pill in which pill *i* contains one unit of nutrient *i* (i = 1, 2, ..., m). In order to convince the dieter to use his pills to supply the daily nutrient requirement, instead of using various foods, the prices of the pills $u_1, u_2, ..., u_m$ should be attractive in such a way that the cost of a combination of *m* pills that provide exactly the same amount of nutrients as a unit of food *j* is less expensive than the cost of a unit of food *j*.

If the dieter concerns about the minimum requirement of m nutrients, he will buy exactly b_i units of pill i.





The problem of the druggist is to maximize his sales. This problem can be formulated as an LP problem as follows:

(D): Max
$$Z' = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i u_i$$

s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} u_i \le c_j$ $\forall j = 1, 2, ..., n$
 $u_i \ge 0$ $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., m$

(P) is the primal problem and (D) is the dual problem of (P).





Example 16: Consider the Product Mix Problem

Company A want to produces *n* products from *m* types of material. The problem is to determine production volumes of products so as to maximize total profit

- Available on-hand inventory of material $i: b_i (i = 1, 2, ..., m)$
- Amount of material used for one unit of product j (j = 1, 2, ..., n): a_{ij}
- Profit of one unit of product j: c_j (j = 1, 2, ..., n)
- Production volume of product j: x_j (j = 1, 2, ..., n)



The **Primal** Problem:

(**P**): Max
$$Z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$$

s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \le b_i$ $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., m$
 $x_j \ge 0$ $\forall j = 1, 2, ..., n$





Suppose that company B wants to purchase all company A' resources. This request will be attractive to company A if

- The offered unit price of material *i* from company B is higher than the unit purchase price of material *i* an amount, says, u_i for each *i*.
- The profit comes from selling the raw materials needed to produce one unit of product *j* to company B should be higher than the profit gained from producing one unit of product *j*.





The problem of company B is then to minimize the additional cost of purchasing while satisfying the above constraints:

(**D**): Min
$$Z' = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i u_i$$

s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} u_i \ge c_j$ $\forall j = 1, 2, ..., n$
 $u_i \ge 0$ $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., m$





In matrix form:

(P): Min $\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}$ (D): Max $\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{u}$ s.t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b}$ \Rightarrow s.t. $\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{u} \le \mathbf{c}$ $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{u} \ge \mathbf{0}$





Remarks:

1. The dual of the dual problem is the primal problem itself <u>Proof</u>: (D) is equivalent to: $-Min (-b)^T u$ s.t. $(-A)^T u \ge -c$; $u \ge 0$

and the dual is:

 $-\text{Max} (-\mathbf{c})^T \mathbf{x}$ s.t. $-\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \le -\mathbf{b}$; $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$

which is equivalent to (P).





Proof:

The Dual of a Linear Program

2. The dual of the standard form LP:

(P): Min $\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}$ (D): Max $\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{u}$ s.t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ \Rightarrow s.t. $\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{c}$ $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ \mathbf{u} unrestricted

$$(\mathbf{P}) \iff \begin{cases} \operatorname{Min} \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathrm{s.t.} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ -\mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \ge \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ -\mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$$









3. In general, the conversion between the Primal and Dual can be summarized as follows:

Primal/Dual (Min)	Dual/Primal (Max)	
$\geq b_i$	$u_i \ge 0$	
$\leq b_i$	$u_i \leq 0$	
$=b_i$	u_i unrestricted	
$x_i \ge 0$	$\leq c_i$	
$x_i \leq 0$	$\geq c_i$	
x_i unrestricted	$= c_i$	
Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union		



Example 17:

Primal Problem

(P) Min $-2x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3 + 2x_4$ (D) Max s.t. $x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3 - x_4 = 2$ $-2x_1 - x_2 + 5x_3 - 4x_4 \ge 3$ $5x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 - 2x_4 \le -2$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \le 0$

Dual Problem

- (**D**) Max $2u_1 + 3u_2 2u_3$ s.t.
 - $u_{1} 2u_{2} + 5u_{3} \le -2$ $u_{1} u_{2} + 3u_{3} \le 1$ $-3u_{1} + 5u_{2} + u_{3} \ge -3$ $-u_{1} 4u_{2} 2u_{3} = 2$ $u_{2} \ge 0, u_{3} \le 0$





Dual Theorem

Consider the primal and dual problems in the form (standard!):

(P): Min
$$\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}$$
(D): Max $\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{u}$ s.t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b}$ \Rightarrow s.t. $\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{u} \le \mathbf{c}$ $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{u} \ge \mathbf{0}$

We says that $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ is a primal feasible solution if $A\overline{\mathbf{x}} \ge \mathbf{b}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{x}} \ge \mathbf{0}$ $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ is a dual feasible solution if $A^T\overline{\mathbf{u}} \le \mathbf{c}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{u}} \ge \mathbf{0}$





Dual Theorem Weak Duality Theorem

If \overline{x} is a primal feasible solution and \overline{u} is a dual feasible solution then

 $\mathbf{c}^T \overline{\mathbf{x}} \ge \mathbf{b}^T \overline{\mathbf{u}}$

Proof:

$$\mathbf{c}^T \overline{\mathbf{x}} \geq (\mathbf{A}^T \overline{\mathbf{u}})^T \overline{\mathbf{x}} \quad \text{since} \quad \mathbf{A}^T \overline{\mathbf{u}} \leq \mathbf{c} \ , \overline{\mathbf{x}} \geq \mathbf{0} \\ = \overline{\mathbf{u}}^T \mathbf{A} \overline{\mathbf{x}} \\ \geq \overline{\mathbf{u}}^T \mathbf{b} \quad \text{since} \quad \mathbf{A} \overline{\mathbf{x}} \geq \mathbf{b} \ , \overline{\mathbf{u}} \geq \mathbf{0} \\ = (\overline{\mathbf{u}}^T \mathbf{b})^T = \mathbf{b}^T \overline{\mathbf{u}}$$





Dual Theorem Weak Duality Theorem

<u>Corollaries</u>:

- If $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is a primal feasible solution and $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ is a dual feasible solution such that $\mathbf{c}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}^T \bar{\mathbf{u}}$ then $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is an optimal solution of (**P**) and $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ is an optimal solution of (**D**) with the same optimal objective value: $\mathbf{c}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}^T \bar{\mathbf{u}}$
- If (P) (or (D)) is unbounded then (D) (or (P)) is infeasible





Dual Theorem Strong Duality Theorem

If both the primal and the dual problems are feasible, then both have optimal solutions $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ that satisfy $\mathbf{c}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}^T \bar{\mathbf{u}}$

Proof:

1. If (\mathbf{P}) (or (\mathbf{D})) is feasible but does not have optimal solution then (\mathbf{P}) (or (\mathbf{D})) is unbounded. Hence, (\mathbf{D}) (or (\mathbf{P})) is infeasible! So, (\mathbf{P}) (or (\mathbf{D})) should have optimal solution.





In

Dual Theorem Strong Duality Theorem

2. (P) is equivalent to: Min $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$

s.t.
$$Ax - y = b$$
 and $x, y \ge 0$

Suppose $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ is the optimal solution of (**P**) and the optimal simplex tableau is:

		Z	X	У	RHS
	Z	1	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}}$	$-\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}$	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$
	\mathbf{X}_B	0	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$	$-\mathbf{B}^{-1}$	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$
which	$\overline{\mathbf{c}} =$	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{y}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{y}} \end{bmatrix}$	c 0		





Dual Theorem Strong Duality Theorem

Note that the coefficient of the column associated with y is:

$$\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathrm{T}} = \overline{\mathbf{c}}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{0}^{\mathrm{T}} = -\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}} = -\mathbf{B}^{-1}$$





Dual Theorem Strong Duality Theorem

- Let $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = \left(\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}\right)^T$, we have:
 - $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{c}^T \leq \mathbf{0}$ and $-\bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \leq \mathbf{0}$ (due to optimality of (**P**))
 - $\implies \quad \mathbf{A}^T \overline{\mathbf{u}} \leq \mathbf{c} \text{ and } \overline{\mathbf{u}} \geq \mathbf{0}$
 - \Rightarrow $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ is a feasible solution of (**D**) that satisfies $\mathbf{b}^T \overline{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{c}^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}$

From the weak duality theorem, it can be concluded that $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ is an optimal solution of (**D**).





Dual Theorem Complementary Slackness Condition

If x and u are primal and dual feasible solutions then x and u are both optimal if and only if

 $\mathbf{u}^{T}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) = 0$ and $(\mathbf{A}^{T}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{c})^{T}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$

i.e.,

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j > b_i \Longrightarrow u_i = 0$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} u_i < c_j \Longrightarrow x_j = 0$$





<u>Proof</u>: If \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{u} are both feasible, we have:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{0} & \Longrightarrow & \mathbf{u}^T (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) \geq \mathbf{0} & \Longrightarrow & \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{c} \leq \mathbf{0} & \Longrightarrow & (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{c})^T \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0} & \Longrightarrow & \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \end{array}$$

 If x and u are both optimal, we have: u^Tb = c^Tx, and hence, u^T(Ax - b) = 0 and (A^Tu - c)^Tx = 0
 If u^T(Ax - b) = 0 and (A^Tu - c)^Tx = 0: u^TAx = u^Tb and u^TAx = c^Tx. Therefore, x and u are both optimal solutions of (P) and (D).





Relationship between Primal – Dual Solution

Consider an iterative of the simplex method applied on a standard LP with the current basis **B** and the associated simplex table:

	Z	X	RHS
Z	1	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$
X _B	0	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$





Relationship between Primal – Dual Solution

- At each step of the simplex algorithm, we keep $B^{-1}b \ge 0$, and thus the basic solution $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1}b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$: always feasible.
- Let $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1})^T$ then \mathbf{u} is *dual feasible* if and only if $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{c}$, i.e., $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{c}^T \leq \mathbf{0}$. This is the *optimality condition* of the primal problem.

The primal optimality condition is actually the dual feasibility condition





Hence, at any intermediate stage of the simplex method, we have:

- (i) A primal basic feasible solution $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$ and (ii) A dual infeasible solution $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1})^T$
- and Primal Obj. Value = $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{b}$ = Dual Obj. Value.

At the final simplex tableau, the dual solution become dual feasible.







Relationship between Primal – Dual Solution

At optimal solutions **x** and **u**, the optimal objective value is $z^* = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{u} = \bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$. If b_i increases one unit, the optimal objective value will change and $\frac{dz^*}{db_i} = (\bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1})_i = \bar{u}_i$. So, \bar{u}_i is the rate of change of the optimal objective value z^* with respect to the change of right-hand side value b_i .

If constraint *i* is for a kind of resource, \overline{u}_i is called the shadow price of that resource






In some LP problems, it is easy to find an initial simplex tableau which satisfies optimality conditions (or dual feasibility conditions) but does not satisfy feasibility conditions (or dual optimality conditions). For example,

 $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$

By introduce the surplus variables \mathbf{y} , an initial infeasible simplex tableau can be derived





	Z	X	У	RHS
Z	1	$-\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}}$	0	0
X _B	0	$-\mathbf{A}$	Ι	-b

The initial basic solution $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b \end{bmatrix}$ is infeasible but the optimality condition $\begin{bmatrix} -c^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \le 0$ can be satisfied.





In this case, if the simplex method introduced before is employed (primal simplex method), some artificial variables have to be introduced and it becomes more complicated to find the optimal solution (two-phase or Big M methods should be applied)

Starting with an optimal but infeasible simplex tableau, i.e., $y_{0i} \le 0, \forall i \in [1, m]$, the dual simplex method can be applied to find optimal solution.





Dual Simplex Method PROCEDURE

<u>Step 1</u>: If $y_{j0} \ge 0, \forall i \in [1, r]$: stop, an optimal solution has been found. Otherwise, select *i* such that $y_{i0} = \min_{1 \le k \le r} \{y_{k0}\} < 0$.

<u>Step 2</u>: With the selected *i*, if $y_{ij} \ge 0, \forall j \in [1, m]$: stop, the primal problem is infeasible. Otherwise, select *j* such that

$$\frac{y_{0j}}{y_{ij}} = \min_{k} \left\{ \frac{y_{0k}}{y_{ik}} \middle| y_{ik} < 0 \right\} \text{ and go to step 3.}$$

<u>Step 3</u>: Pivot at y_{ij} and go back to step 1.





Example 18:

Min $3x_1 + 4x_2 + 5x_3$ s.t. $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 \ge 5$

 $2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \ge 6$

 $x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$

Min s.t.

 $3x_1 + 4x_2 + 5x_3$

Introduce surplus variables:

 $-x_1 - 2x_2 - 3x_3 + x_4 = 5$

$$-2x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 + x_5 = 6$$
$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \ge 0$$





Initial infeasible simplex tableau:

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	RHS
Ζ	1	-3	-4	-5	0	0	0
X_4	0	-1	-2	-3	1	0	-5
<i>x</i> ₅	0	-2*	-2	-1	0	1	-6



Iteration 1:

MSE

- $Min\{-5,-6\} = -6$
- $Min\{-3/-2, -4/-2, -5/-1\} = 3/2$
- \Rightarrow Pivot term -2*, x_1 entering variable; x_5 leaving variable.

	Ζ	<i>x</i> ₁	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	<i>X</i> ₅	RHS
Ζ	1	0	-1	-7/2	0	-3/2	9
X_4	0	0	-1*	-5/2	1	-1/2	-2
X_1	0	1	1	1/2	0	-1/2	3





Iteration 2:

- The only negative RHS value: -2
- Min{-1/-1, (-7/2)/(-5/2), (-3/2)/(-1/2)} = 1/1
- \Rightarrow Pivot term -1*, -entering variable; -leaving variable.

	Ζ	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	-1	-1	-1	11
X_2	0	0	1	5/2	-1	1/2	2
x_1	0	1	0	-2	1	-1	1

Optimal Solution: $x_1^* = 1, x_2^* = 2, x_3^* = 0$ Objective Value = 11





<u>Note 1</u>: A simplex table of the dual simplex algorithm for a given basis **B** has the form:

	Ζ	X_1	<i>x</i> ₂	• • •	X_{j}	•••	X_m	RHS
Ζ	1	y_{01}	<i>Y</i> ₀₂	• • •	y_{0j}	• • •	y_{0m}	${\cal Y}_{00}$
X_{B_i}	0	y_{i1}	y_{i2}	• • •	${\cal Y}_{ij}$	• • •	\mathcal{Y}_{im}	y_{i0}

$$z = y_{00} - \sum_{k \in D} y_{0k} x_k \qquad x_{\mathbf{B}_i} = y_{i0} - \sum_{k \in D} y_{ik} x_k$$





If $y_{i0} < 0$ and $y_{ij} \ge 0, \forall j = 1, 2, ..., m$ then the primal problem is infeasible and hence, the dual problem is unbounded.

<u>*Proof*</u>: Since all $x_j \ge 0 \Rightarrow x_{B_i} = y_{i0} - \sum_{k \in D} y_{ik} x_k < 0$: the primal problem is infeasible





<u>Note 2</u>: In each iteration of the dual simplex method, primal optimality condition (dual feasibility condition) is always satisfied and the objective value does not decrease.

<u>*Proof*</u>: when pivoting at y_{ij} , the new objective value z' can be expressed as:

$$z' = y_{00} - y_{0j} \frac{y_{i0}}{y_{ij}} = y_{00} - y_{0j} x_j$$

Due to $y_{0j} < 0$ and $x_j \ge 0$: $z' = y_{00} - y_{0j}x_j \ge y_{00}$





Furthermore, the new value of y_{0k} , denoted by y'_{ok} can be expressed as: $y'_{ok} = y_{0k} - \frac{y_{ik}}{y_{ij}}y_{0j}$. Hence,

If
$$y_{ik} > 0$$
: $-\frac{y_{ik}}{y_{ij}}y_{0j} < 0 \Rightarrow y'_{ok} \le y_{0k} \le 0$
If $y_{ik} < 0$: $y'_{ok} = y_{ik}\left(\frac{y_{0k}}{y_{ik}} - \frac{y_{0j}}{y_{ij}}\right) \le 0$
due to $\frac{y_{0j}}{y_{ij}} = \min_{k}\left\{\frac{y_{0k}}{y_{ik}} \middle| y_{ik} < 0\right\}$





<u>Note 3</u>: The dual simplex method is just simply the simplex method applied to the dual problem by using the primal simplex tableau.

<u>Note 4</u>: The optimal solution of the dual problem (if it exists) can be determined from the shadow prices of the primal problem.







Example 19:

(**P**): Min
$$-2x_1 - x_2$$

s.t
 $x_1 + \frac{8}{2}x_2 \le 4$

$$x_{1} + x_{2} \le 2$$

$$2x_{1} \le 3$$

$$x_{1}, x_{2} \ge 0$$





The optimal simplex tableau of this LP (see example 7):

	Ζ	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	0	-1	-1/2	-7/2
<i>x</i> ₃	0	0	0	1	-8/3	5/6	7/6
X_2	0	0	1	0	1	-1/2	1/2
X_1	0	1	0	0	0	1/2	3/2

Optimal solution: $x_1^* = \frac{3}{2}, x_2^* = \frac{1}{2}, Z^* = -\frac{7}{2}$





The dual problem:

(D): Max
$$4u_1 + 2u_2 + 3u_3$$

s.t
 $u_1 + u_2 + 2u_3 \le -2$
 $\frac{8}{3}u_1 + u_2 \le -1$
 $u_1, u_2, u_3 \le 0$



™.0

Dual Simplex Method

Denote
$$U_1 = -u_1$$
, $U_2 = -u_2$, $U_3 = -u_3$, we have

Min $4U_1 + 2U_2 + 3U_3$ s.t $-U_1 - U_2 - 2U_3 \le -2$ $-\frac{8}{3}U_1 - U_2 \le -1$ $U_1, U_2, U_3 \ge 0$





Introduce slack variables:

Min
$$4U_1 + 2U_2 + 3U_3$$

s.t
 $-U_1 - U_2 - 2U_3 + U_4 = -2$
 $-\frac{8}{3}U_1 - U_2 + U_5 = -1$
 $U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, U_5 \ge 0$





Apply dual simplex method - the initial simplex tableau is

	Ζ	U_1	U_2	U_3	U_4	U_5	RHS
Ζ	1	-4	-2	-3	0	0	0
$U_4^{}$	0	-1	-1	-2*	1	0	-2
${U}_5$	0	-8/3	-1	0	0	1	-1





<u>Iteration 1</u>: $Min\{-2,-1\} = -2$; $Min\{-4/-1, -2/-1, -3/-2\} = -3/-2$

 \Rightarrow Pivot term -2^{*}, U_3 -entering variable; U_3 -leaving variable.

	Ζ	U_1	${U}_2$	$U_3^{}$	U_4	U_5	RHS
Ζ	1	-5/2	-1/2	0	-3/2	0	3
U_3	0	1/2	1/2	1	-1/2	0	1
U_5	0	-8/3	-1*	0	0	1	-1





<u>Iteration 2</u>: Min{-(5/2)/-(8/3), -(1/2)/-1} = -(1/2)/-1

 \Rightarrow Pivot term -1^{*}, U_2 -entering variable; U_5 -leaving variable.

	Ζ	U_1	U_2	U_3	U_4	U_5	RHS
Ζ	1	-7/6	0	0	-3/2	-1/2	7/2
U_3	0	-5/6	0	1	-1/2	1/2	1/2
U_2	0	8/3	1	0	0	-1	1

Optimal solution: $u_1^* = 0, u_2^* = -\frac{1}{2}, Z^* = -\frac{7}{2}$





Consider the product mix problem: Produce *n* products from *m* types of material.

- Available on-hand inventory of material i (i = 1, 2, ..., m): b_i
- Amount of material *i* used for one unit of product j (j = 1, 2, ..., n): a_{ij}
- Profit of one unit of product *j*: c'_j

<u>Problem</u>: determine production volumes of products so as to maximize total profit.







Denote x_j (j = 1, 2, ..., n): production volume of product j.

<u>Objective Function</u>: Maximize Profit $Z' = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c'_{j} x_{j}$ or Minimize "Cost" $Z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}$ with $c_{j} = -c'_{j}$

Constraints:

- * Material constraints: $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \le b_i$ $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., m$
- * Variable constraints: $x_j \ge 0$ $\forall j = 1, 2, ..., n$





It is noted that, slack variables $x_k(k = 1, 2, ..., m)$ will be introduced to convert the problem into standard form. The *optimal* simplex tableau of the standard form problem can be expressed as:

	Z	X_{j}	X_k	RHS
Ζ	1	$\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{-1}}\mathbf{A}_{j}$ - c_{j}	$\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{e}_{k}$	$\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$
X _B	0	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{j}$	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{e}_k$	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$

 \mathbf{e}_k is the unit column vector associated with x_k in the matrix **A**.





It should be noted that $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^{T}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{e}_{k} = (\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^{T}\mathbf{B}^{-1})_{k}$ The optimal objective value: $Z^{*} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^{T}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} (\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^{T}\mathbf{B}^{-1})_{k}b_{k}$ Hence:

 $\frac{\partial z^*}{\partial b_k} = (\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1})_k = \text{the } z\text{-value of } x_k \text{ at optimal solution}$ $(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1})_k \text{ is called the shadow price of material } k (k = 1,2,...,m).$ So, if the amount of material k increases (decreases) "one" unit, the optimal objective value will increase (decrease) $(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1})_k$ unit provided that the optimality condition is still satisfied.





Example 20: Produce two products A, B from three types of material 1,2, and 3.

1kg of product A requires: 1kg material 1, 1kg material 2 and 2kg material 3. Unit profit of A: 200 mil./ton

1kg of product B requires: 8/3kg material 1, 1kg material 2. Unit profit of B: 100 mil./ton

Available amount of materials 1,2, and 3: 4, 2, and 3 tons.







The LP program:

Monetary unit used in objective function: 100 millions.





The optimal simplex tableau:

	Ζ	X_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	RHS
Ζ	1	0	0	0	-1	-1/2	-7/2
<i>x</i> ₃	0	0	0	1	-8/3	5/6	7/6
<i>x</i> ₂	0	0	1	0	1	-1/2	1/2
X_1	0	1	0	0	0	1/2	3/2

Optimal solution: $x_1^* = \frac{3}{2}, x_2^* = \frac{1}{2}, Z^* = -\frac{7}{2}$





From the optimal simplex tableau, it can be concluded that

- 1. Changing the amount of material 1 will not help increase the benefit
- 2. Increasing the amount of material 2 by 1 unit (1 ton) will help reduce the "cost" by 1 unit (100 millions), or equivalently, increase the profit by 1 unit (100 millions).
- 3. Increasing the amount of material 3 by 1 unit (1 ton) will help reduce the "cost" by 1/2 unit (50 millions), or equivalently, increase the profit by 1/2 unit (50 millions).

Note that the above analysis holds true only if the optimality condition is still satisfied when changing RHS parameters.





Consider the problem in example 20 and one of its simplex tableau during the solution process (not the optimal one!):

	Ζ	x_1	X_2	<i>x</i> ₃	X_4	X_5	RHS
Ζ	1	0	1	0	0	-1	-3
<i>x</i> ₃	0	0	8/3	1	0	-1/2	5/2
x_4	0	0	1	0	1	-1/2	1/2
x_1	0	1	0	0	0	1/2	3/2





The value $c_j - \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j$ (which is the z-value with opposite sign) is called the reduced cost of producing product *j*.

For instances, in the above simplex tableau:

- Reduced costs associated with x_1 , x_3 , x_4 are 0.
- Reduced cost associated with x_2 is -1.
- Reduced cost associated with x_5 is 1.







Meaning of reduced cost:

Consider x_2 , we have:

$$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This vector expresses the linear combination of basic variables which is equivalent to x_2 . That is $x_2 \Leftrightarrow \frac{8}{3}x_3 + x_4 + 0x_1$.





In order to understand the equivalence; let assume that in addition to the production of the two products A, and B, we produce also C,D, and E with the following information:

1kg of product C requires: 1kg material 1. Unit profit of C: 01kg of product D requires: 1kg material 2. Unit profit of D: 01kg of product E requires: 1kg material 3. Unit profit of E: 0

The LP model with these new added products will not change and x_3 , x_4 , x_5 represent production volumes of C,D, and E, respectively.





The material consumptions of each unit of the products can be expressed by column vectors of the constraint matrix **A**:

$$\mathbf{A}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{A}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{8}{3}\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{A}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{A}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{A}_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It can be easily seen that the amount of each type of materials used to produce one kg of product B is exactly the same as the total amount of each type of materials used to produce 8/3kg of product C + 1kg of product D + 0kg of product A. The expression $x_2 \Leftrightarrow \frac{8}{3}x_3 + x_4 + 0x_1$ is used to illustrate the above relationship.





• $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^{T}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$: This value is the "cost" to produce the combination of (8/3kg of product C + 1kg of product D + 0kg of product A), which consume the same amount of materials as of 1kg of product B.

• Thus, $c_2 - \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_2 = -1$ is the reduction in total "cost" if 1kg of B is produced instead of the combination (8/3kg of product C + 1kg of product D + 0kg of product A).





So, if $c_2 - \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_2 < 0$ (the z-value >0) as in this case, it will be better to produce B than its equivalent combination of (C,D,A) \Rightarrow x_2 should enter the basic solution to help reduce the objective value.

The above analysis of reduced cost also explains for the optimality condition in the primal simplex method discussed before.

