Evaluation Criteria Identification

This form is for Quality Control and Monitor member (QCMM) to identify criteria for task evaluation.

**QCMM Name: Livia Lazar**

**WP No.: WP1**

**Task No.: 1.4 - Identifying gaps**

1. **Objective(s) and Deliverable(s)**

The main objective(s) of this task:

 The objective of this task is to identify and assess the gaps between needs and graduates’ competences.

The deliverable(s) of this task:

 Gaps between the needs and graduates’ competences

1. **Process Monitoring & Assessment**

In your opinion, what should we look at in order to say that the task is done properly and met the objective?

 The task is considered to be done successfully if, the Gaps assessment report meets the approval of QCMB and PEC, within the time frame according to the Working Plan.

Can we measure them and how do we measure them? If you can’t measure it, please remove and find another one.

 The Gaps assessment report will be evaluated according to QF\_DES – Deliverable Evaluation by QCMB and PEC, and it will be monitored through A03\_QF-QCM Internal Quality Control and Monitoring.

1. **Deliverable Assessment**

In your opinion, what should be the specifications of the deliverable(s)?

 The Gaps assessment report must include an analysis of gaps between the actual competence of MSc graduates in Industrial Engineering and the real needs of industry 4.0 in Thailand 4.0 and in EU partners countries.

 Please identify similar deliverable(s) elsewhere.

 Existing gaps analysis between competencies students and those required at job in various kind of business and industries.

Please rate those deliverables according to your specifications.

 Not applicable

What are the criteria or scales that you have used to rate those deliverables?

 Not applicable.

According to your criteria, please set the target specifications for our deliverables to claim that the objective is met?

 The identified gaps must provide appropriate data for identifing competitive advantages and developing the new curriculum.