**WP 5 - Dissemination and Exploitation of Project Results**

**Quality Assessment Report for Event 1 organised by
Thammasat University**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Acronym:** | MSIE 4.0 |
| **Project full title:** | Curriculum Development of Master’s Degree Program in Industrial Engineering for Thailand Sustainable Smart Industry |
| **Project No.:** | 586137-EPP-I-2017-I-TH-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP |
| **Funding Scheme:** | Erasmus + KA2 - Capacity Building in the field of Higher Education |
| **Coordinator:** | AIT |
| **Work Package:** | **WP 5** |
| **WP Leader:** | KKU |
| **Task Title:** | 5.6 Organizing dissemination events with relevant stakeholders |
| **Task Leaders:** | KKU |
| **Last version date:** | 12/10/2019 |
| **Status:** | Final |
| **Dissemination Level:** | Internal |

**Disclaimer**

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

Copyright © MSIE 4.0 Consortium, 2017-2020

REVISION SHEET

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Date** | **Author (Partner/Person)** | **The revision reason** |
| 1 | 12.10.2019 | Andrei DUMITRESCU | - |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Table of Contents**

[1 Executive Summary 4](#_Toc507697179)

[2 Introduction 4](#_Toc507697180)

[3 The Assessment Questionnaire Structure 4](#_Toc507697181)

4 Analysis of Questionnaire Results ............................................................................................................5

5 Conclusions .………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………. 5

#

# Executive Summary

This document presents the analysis aimed to assess the quality of the first dissemination with relevant stakeholders organised by Thammasat University. The quality assessment questionnaire was filled by several participants at event. The analysis indicated that the event was well organised at all topics.

# Introduction

According to the approved project application, dissemination events (workshops and info days) will be organised at each Thai university with two aims:

a) to disseminate project objectives, activities and results;

b) to promote the concepts of the new study program.

These dissemination events will start to be conducted after the completions of key activities for preparation and development phase and more often during the last six months of the project. Participants on these workshops will be academic staff, researchers, potential students, industry representatives.

This was the first such an event organised by Thammasat University. In order to ensure a proper quality for the next events, the event assessment questionnaire designed by project’s partners was used during this event.

# The Assessment Questionnaire Structure

The assessment questionnaire was designed to cover all the relevant aspects of the event, but also to be brief enough to avoid respondents’ boredom. The questionnaire had three sections: General information; Overall feedback; Strengths and limitations of the event.

The general information section had the following items:

1. Sex (please tick the appropriate option): □ Female □ Male
2. Your Age
3. Country
4. What is your present professional position?

The overall feedback section had following items (5-point Likert scale from 5 - “Most satisfied” to 1 - “Not at all satisfied”):

1. The event administration
2. The structure of the programme
3. The venue and facilities
4. The presentations
5. The discussions
6. The information I got will be of immediate use to me.
7. This event covered to a very high extent the topics I have expected.
8. I enjoyed the cooperation and interaction with the other participants.

The strengths and limitations of the event section had the following items:

1. Have you participated in similar events before? □ Yes □ No
2. Please illustrate any strengths of the event and contributions or activities you enjoyed:
3. Please indicate how you think the event could have been improved:
4. Any further comments?

# Analysis of Questionnaire Results

The questionnaire was filled by several participants. The author of this document received several filled questionnaires, but some of them repeated themselves, so only a qualitative analysis of the data was possible. It appears that the participants were Thai academics.

Overall, the results were good. There were appreciated event organization, discussions and utility of information.

Some of the respondents participated at a similar event before.

There were recorded the next comments.

**Comments regarding the event’s strengths and enjoyed activities:**

* “Give opportunity for everyone to share opinion”
* “Make everyone contribute”

# Conclusions

A questionnaire for assessment of MSIE 4.0 project’s events was designed and run for the first dissemination event organised by Thammasat University. Several participants filled the questionnaire. There were appreciated event organization, discussions and utility of information.